

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,728	11/24/2003	Louis M. Franco	3568/7Cont.	2759
	7590 01/12/2007 D BROWN RAYSMAN &	STEINER LLP	EXAMINER ENG, DAVID Y	
900 THIRD AVENUE			ENG, DAVID Y	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/12/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> </del>
·		FRANCO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	October 2006		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	·	-
Disposition of Claims		·.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-40</u> is/are pending in the application	on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under 35 0.5.5.	g 113(a)-(a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		-
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	1	Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International Bure		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
•		•	
Attach			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) ☐ Intention	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/720,728

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 1-2 have been cancelled. Newly submitted claims 3-40 have been entered. The active claims are 3-40.

Claims 3-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Scope of limitation of the claims is not clear because the terms used in the claims are so broad that it is not clear what they are. Applicants are requested to identify the support of each of the steps in claims 3-16 and 35.

With respect to the dependent claims, it is not clear in what order with respect to the steps of their parent claims the steps in the dependent claims are executed.

Claims 1-26 of patent # 6,687,745 contain every element of claims 3-40 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 3-40 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON

PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 3-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by LeMole (USP 6,009,410).

With respect to independent claims 3, 17, 31 and 35, LeMole teaches:

A method for presenting an application (advertisement or information about Disney, Delta Airlines or Dell Computer and programs for ordering tickets or computers, etc.) in a networked computer processing system (Figure 1) having a plurality of client computers (101, see lines 28 et seq. of column 3) and a plurality of host computers (121, 116 etc.), the method comprising:

Retrieving, in response to a request of a client computer, a content item having computer program code embedded therein, execution of the embedded computer program code establishing a communication connection to a host computer (a click on an access icon, see the first sentence of the abstract);

sending operating environment information regarding the client computer from the client computer to the host computer (user profile, see the second sentence in the abstract);

Application/Control Number: 10/720,728

Art Unit: 2155

retrieving presentation information to present an application and content, the presentation information being based on the operating environment information and comprising at least one of instructions for rendering components of the application, default parameters and data values exhibited within the components, and application-specific business logic for processing input to the presented application (retrieving the composite advertising page);

presenting, at the client computer, the application and the content based upon the presentation information (see the rest of the abstract specially the last sentence in the abstract).

In regard to claim 4, user clicks the access icon again. See the first sentence of the abstract.

In claim 5, drag and drop is inherent in Window.

As to claims 6 and 8-11, see step 301 in Figure 2 of LeMole.

As to claim 7, Figure 2 of LeMole shows icons associated with labels.

As to claims 12-13, it is well know that transmission can be tracked by cookies.

With respect to claims 14-16, see Figure 1 of LeMole.

As to claims 18-30, 32-34 and 36-40, they do not define above the invention claimed in claims 1-16 and therefore are rejected for the same reasons.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2155

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG whose telephone number is 571-272-3984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SALEH NAJJAR, can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER